

SITA Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement

The Intelligent Systems: Theories and Applications (SITA) conference, publishes peer reviewed articles dealing with up-to-date and emerging theories and practice of Intelligent Systems using connectionist and symbolic approaches (Knowledge Based Systems , Soft Computing, Fuzzy Logics, Neural Networks, Genetic Algorithms, etc.) together with their applications in different engineering fields: Computing, Telecommunications, Networking, Robotics, Manufacturing, Health care, Data Mining, Big Data, Image, Video and Audio Processing, Pattern Recognition.

SITA conference expects all parties participating in the publication of its Proceedings to commit to upholding the highest standards of publication ethics and takes all possible measures against any publication malpractices. SITA's policies of publication ethics are based on existing Elsevier policies and [COPE's](#) Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors.

SITA is checking all papers in a single-blind peer review process. It does not tolerate Plagiarism and research fabrication (making up research data); falsification (manipulation of existing research data, tables, or images) or any other unethical behavior. Any manuscript that does not meet these standards will be removed from the proceedings even after the publication. Cases of suspected plagiarism or duplicate publishing will be reported.

SITA therefore expects conformance to standards of ethical behavior from all parties involved: Authors, Reviewers and Editorial Board for which it has developed the following responsibilities. In particular,

Authors: Authors should present an objective discussion of the significance of research work as well as sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the experiments. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable. Review articles should also be objective, comprehensive, and accurate accounts of the state of the art. The authors should ensure that their work is entirely original works, and if the work and/or words of others have been used, this has been appropriately acknowledged. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal/conference concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable. Authors should not submit articles describing essentially the same research to more than one journal/conference. The corresponding author should ensure that there is a full consensus of all co-authors in approving the final version of the paper and its submission for publication.

Editors: Editors should evaluate manuscripts exclusively on the basis of their academic merit. An editor must not use unpublished information in the editor's own research without the express written consent of the author. Editors should take reasonable responsive measures when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper.

Reviewers: Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviews should be conducted objectively, and observations should be formulated clearly with supporting arguments, so that authors can use them for improving the paper. Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself from the review process. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

If you have any question about SITA Conference, please contact: sita18@sitaconference.org.